## 617.20

## **Appendix C**

## State Environmental Quality Review

## SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

For UNLISTED ACTIONS Only

| PART I - PROJECT INFORMATION (To be completed by A  | pplicant or Project Sponsor)                                  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. APPLICANT/SPONSOR  | 2. PROJECT NAME   |  |  |  |  |
| Hudson River-Black River Regulating District  | Apportionment Grievance Hearing Procedure rulemaking          |  |  |  |  |
| 3. PROJECT LOCATION:  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Municipality Statewide  | County  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. PRECISE LOCATION (Street address and road intersections, prominent landmarks, etc., or provide map)  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Hudson River Area (Albany, Rensselaer, Washington, Saratoga, Black River Area (Jefferson, Lewis, Herkimer, Oneida and Hami  | Warren, Essex, Hamilton and Fulton Counties) lton Counties)   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. PROPOSED ACTION IS:  New Expansion Modification/alteration   | on  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. DESCRIBE PROJECT BRIEFLY:  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Addition of new sections to Title 6 New York Code of Rules and I procedure through which aggrieved parties contest the statutorily r Black River Regulating District facilities among the public corporation. | nandated apportionment of costs to operate the Hudson River - |  |  |  |  |
| 7. AMOUNT OF LAND AFFECTED:  Initially 0 acres Ultimately 0 acres   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. WILL PROPOSED ACTION COMPLY WITH EXISTING ZONING OR OTHER EXISTING LAND USE RESTRICTIONS?  Yes No If No, describe briefly  N/A   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. WHAT IS PRESENT LAND USE IN VICINITY OF PROJECT?  Residential Industrial Commercial Describe:  N/A   | Agriculture Park/Forest/Open Space Other                      |  |  |  |  |
|   | OW OR ULTIMATELY FROM ANY OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY           |  |  |  |  |
| (FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL)?  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Yes V No If Yes, list agency(s) name and pe   | ттварргочив.  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. DOES ANY ASPECT OF THE ACTION HAVE A CURRENTLY VALID PERMIT OR APPROVAL?  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Yes V No If Yes, list agency(s) name and pe   | rmit/approvals:   |  |  |  |  |
| 12. AS A RESULT OF PROPOSED ACTION WILL EXISTING PERMIT/A  ☐ Yes  | APPROVAL REQUIRE MODIFICATION?                                |  |  |  |  |
| I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE  Applicant/sponsor name: Glenn A. LaFave, Executive Director HRBRRD Date: 12/8/09   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Signature:  |   |  |  |  |  |

If the action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment

| PART  | II - I   | MPACT ASS          | SESSMENT (To be completed I                                     | by Lead Agenc          |  |  |
|---|--|--------------------|---|------------------------|--|--|
| A. DOI  | ES AC<br>Yes   | TION EXCEED  No    | ANY TYPE I THRESHOLD IN 6 NYCRE                                 | R, PART 617.4?         | If yes, coordinate the review process and use the FULL EAF.    |  |
|   |  | n may be super     | COORDINATED REVIEW AS PROVIDE seded by another involved agency. | ED FOR UNLISTED        | ACTIONS IN 6 NYCRR, PART 617.6? If No, a negative              |  |
| C. CO   | ULD A  | CTION RESUL        | T IN ANY ADVERSE EFFECTS ASSOC                                  | HT HTIW DETAIL         | FOLLOWING: (Answers may be handwritten, if legible)            |  |
| C1  | C1. Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing traffic pattern, solid waste production or disposal, potential for erosion, drainage or flooding problems? Explain briefly:   |                    |   |                        |  |  |
|   | 1. The action will not produce any change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity; traffic or noise levels; any increase in solid waste production, any increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems. |                    |   |                        |  |  |
| C2  | 2. Aes   | thetic, agricultur | al, archaeological, historic, or other natu                     | ural or cultural resou | rces; or community or neighborhood character? Explain briefly: |  |
|   | The action will not alter any site.  |                    |   |                        |  |  |
| C3  | 3. Veg   | etation or fauna   | ı, fish, shellfish or wildlife species, signific                | cant habitats, or thre | eatened or endangered species? Explain briefly:                |  |
| The action will not alter any site.   |  |                    |   |                        |  |  |
| C4  | C4. A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources? Explain briefly:   |                    |   |                        |  |  |
| The action implements statutorily mandated procedures for contesting a statutorily mandated apportionment process.  |  |                    |   |                        |  |  |
| C5  |  |                    | t development, or related activities likely                     |                        |  |  |
| The Regulating District has operated under the existing statute for decades. The adoption of the new rule does not alter the statutory mandate that communities share the cost to afford the protection provided.  C6. Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not identified in C1-C5? Explain briefly:  |  |                    |   |                        |  |  |
|   | As the action will alter no site, will not change the statutory obligation of communities, and will free, rather than restrict communities ability to contest the imposition of costs, any combined impact of the proposed rule will be positive.                |                    |   |                        |  |  |
| G/  | C7. Other impacts (including changes in use of either quantity or type of energy)? Explain briefly: None   |                    |   |                        |  |  |
| D. WIL  | L THE  | PROJECT HA         | VE AN IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMEN                                  | NTAL CHARACTEF         | RISTICS THAT CAUSED THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CRITICAL            |  |
|   |  | MENTAL AREA        |   |                        |  |  |
| E. IST  | E. IS THERE, OR IS THERE LIKELY TO BE, CONTROVERSY RELATED TO POTENTIAL ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS?  Yes No If Yes, explain briefly:  |                    |   |                        |  |  |
|   | The proposed rule merely makes provision for the efficient administration of an existing program.  |                    |   |                        | efficient administration of an existing program.               |  |
| PART III - DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To be completed by Agency) INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified above, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significant. Each effect should be assessed in connection with its (a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration; (d) irreversibility; (e geographic scope; and (f) magnitude. If necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure that explanations contain sufficient detail to show that all relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately addressed. If question D of Part II was checked yes, the determination of significance must evaluate the potential impact of the proposed action on the environmental characteristics of the CEA |  |                    |   |                        |  |  |
|   | Check this box if you have identified one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts which MAY occur. Then proceed directly to the FUL EAF and/or prepare a positive declaration.  |                    |   |                        |  |  |
| Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action WILI NOT result in any significant adverse environmental impacts AND provide, on attachments as necessary, the reasons supporting this determination  |  |                    |   |                        |  |  |
| Hud   |  |                    | River Regulating District                                       | 12/8/09                |  |  |
|   |  | T                  | Name of Lead Agency   |                        | Date   |  |
| Gler  | nn A.  | LaFave             |   | Executi                | ive Director   |  |
|   | Print  | or Type Name       | of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency                           |                        | Title of Responsible Officer                                   |  |
|   | _4   | Signature of Re    | esponsible Officer in Lead Agency                               | Si                     | gnature of Preparer (If different from responsible officer)    |  |